

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/446,641	12/22/1999	TSUYONOBU HATAZAWA	P99.2641	2680
26263	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
SONNENS	CHEIN NATH & ROSE	DOVE, TRACY MAE		
P.O. BOX 06 WACKER D	51080 PRIVE STATION, SEARS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60606-1080	1745		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/446,	641	HATAZAWA ET A	AL.			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Tracy De	ove	1745				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on t	he cover sheet t	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOP - If NO period for reference to reply we have reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD IS LONGER, FROM THE e may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this coleply is specified above, the maximum thin the set or extended period for red by the Office later than three month m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of mmunication. statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the a is after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) f	iled on <i>25 July 2005</i>						
2a)⊠ This act		2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)☐ Since th	·							
Disposition of Cl	aims							
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)) <u>10,14-17,22-26 and 29</u> is the above claim(s) is leadove claim(s) is leadoved.) <u>10,14-17,22-26 and 29</u> is leadoved is lead to l	/are withdrawn from c	consideration.					
Application Pape	ers							
9) The spec	cification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
	ving(s) filed on is/ar		-	·				
	t may not request that any ob		-	• •				
<u> </u>	nent drawing sheet(s) includi i or declaration is objected	-			• •			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a)□ All t 1.□ C 2.□ C 3.⊠ C al	edgment is made of a clair o) Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priori ertified copies of the priori opies of the certified copie oplication from the Internal ttached detailed Office act	ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docur tional Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in nents have bee ule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)			🗖					
2) D Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 il Date	•	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT 	⁻ O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 7/25/05. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 10, 14-17, 22-26 and 29 are pending. This Action is made FINAL, as necessitated by amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10, 14-17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polyvinylidene fluoride/ hexafluoropropylene copolymer having a hexafluoropropylene content of 1-7% by weight of the copolymer, does not reasonably provide enablement for "wherein the copolymer is 1-7% by weight". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. See page 9 of the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10, 14-17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites "wherein the copolymer is 1-7% by weight", which is indefinite because it is unclear what the weight percentage is based upon.

Claim 29 is indefinite because it depends from a canceled claim.

To the extent the claims are understood in view of the 35 USC 112 rejections above, note the following prior art rejections.

Claims Analysis

Note the specification states the plasticizer or solvent may comprise an ester, ether or carbonate and the solvent is removed to solidify the electrolyte (page 8, lines 5-9 and page 11, lines 19-21). Thus, a plasticizer is interpreted as any ester, ether or carbonate compound. Thus, a solvent is interpreted as any ester, ether or carbonate compound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasugata, JP 08-236095.

Yasugata teaches a lithium battery comprising a separator (solid electrolyte) located between a positive electrode and a negative electrode. The separator comprises polyvinylidene fluoride (fluorocarbon polymer) having a weight average molecular weight of 50,000-2,000,000, preferably 100,000 to 1,000,000. An electrolytic solution impregnates the separator and electrodes (0001, 0003 and 0006-0007). Thus the claims are anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,641

Art Unit: 1745

~

Claims 10, 14-17, 22-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gao et al., US 5,756,230.

Page 4

Gao teaches a method of improving the structural integrity of an electrode binder and a polymeric matrix component of an electrochemical cell by employing polymer blends comprising fluoropolymers. See abstract. With the inventive fluoropolymer blends of Gao, the polymer binders of the anode and cathode and the polymeric layer of the electrolyte (solid) do not become brittle and crack under stress. See col. 2, lines 1-38. The fluoropolymer blends are described in col. 4, lines 19-67. The individual polymers of the blend may be homopolymers having a molecular weight in the range of 50,000 to 900,000, copolymers having a molecular weight in the range of 10,000 to 900,000 or terpolymers having a molecular weight in the range of 10,000 to 900,000. Note polytetrafluoroethylene and polyvinyl fluorides are preferred homopolymers and polyvinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene is a preferred copolymer. For blends comprising a homopolymer and a copolymer, the relative weight percentage of the homopolymer preferably ranges from about 90% to 50%. See col. 5, lines 5-23. Gao teaches placing an electrolyte solution comprising an electrolyte solvent and a salt into said anode, cathode and polymeric compositions (col. 2, lines 9-23) (impregnates a face of the positive and negative electrodes). The cathode may comprise a lithium transition metal oxide and the anode may comprise carbon (col. 5, lines 59-65). Lithium ion cells are rechargeable. Lamination causes the polymeric components of the anode and cathode precursors to adhere to the polymeric layer (Example 3). The electrochemical cell includes an electrolytic solvent such as an organic carbonate (col. 5, lines 36-67). Typical solvents include propylene carbonate and ethylene

carbonate (plasticizer of instant invention, see page 17, lines 1-9 of specification). The polymeric matrix is mixed with dibutyl phthalate (ester) and the polymeric layer is formed such that the electrolyte solution (salt and solvent) fills the pores created by the extraction of the dibutyl phthalate (ester solvent of the instant invention). See col. 10, lines 28-48.

Gao teaches a fluoropolymer blend of a homopolymer having a typical molecular weight in the range of 50,000 to 900,000 and a copolymer having a typical molecular weight in the range of 10,000 to 900,000. Gao further teaches a polymer blend of a homopolymer having a typical molecular weight in the range of 50,000 to 900,000 and a terpolymer having a typical molecular weight in the range of 10,000 to 900,000. See col. 4, lines 44-65 and col. 5, lines 6-23). Gao teaches preferably the polymers forming the fluoropolymer blend have a high average molecular weight. Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 10, 14-17, 22-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Humphrey et al., EP 0730316.

Humphrey teaches an electrochemical cell having a positive electrode, an absorber-separator sometimes referred to as a solid electrolyte, and a negative electrode. At least one of the electrodes or the separator comprises a porous polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), the PVDF electrodes having an electrode material combined therewith and the PVDF separator having an electrolyte material combined therewith (4:13-18). The PVDF polymer may include either a homopolymer or a copolymer, wherein the copolymers are either heterogeneous or homogeneous copolymers of vinylidene fluoride and hexafluoropropylene. The co-monomer is present from about 7 to about 25 wt%. The use of homogeneous copolymers for the manufacture of the

electrode and electrolyte matrices is especially preferred (4:32-39). Polymers that may be used are shown in Table III. The table shows various grades of KYNARTM (tradename for PVDF and are commercially available) ranging in weight average molecular weights of 33,500 to 572,500. KYNARTM 460 (572,000) and KYNARTM 460 Black (373,500) are included in Table III. Table IV also describes the combination of medium and high molecular weight grades to provide a PVDF homopolymer. The positive electrode includes LiMn2O4 and the negative electrode includes petroleum coke (carbonaceous material) (13:42-48). The negative electrode material may be graphite (14:16-19). Thus the claims are anticipated.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

YASUGATA

Applicant argues Yasugata relates to a separator molded by adding polyvinylidene fluoride to polyethylene, and not formed by adopting a solid electrolyte like the present invention. It is unclear how Applicant reaches this conclusion regarding the teachings of Yasugata. Claim 22 recites a "solid electrolyte *comprising* a first fluorocarbon polymer", and hence does not exclude polyethylene as a material of the solid electrolyte. Furthermore, the solid electrolyte of the claimed invention separates the positive and negative electrodes. Therefore, the solid electrolyte of the claimed invention is a separator. Applicant has not provided any persuasive arguments in support of the conclusion that Yasugata does not anticipate the claimed invention. Thus the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection is maintained.

GAO

Applicant argues while Gao teaches polyvinyl fluoride or polyhexafluoropropylene, Gao does not teach using a polyvinylidene fluoride as recited in claims 13 and 29. Examiner points out claim 13 has been canceled and claim 29 depends from canceled claim 13. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's analysis of the Gao reference. Gao teaches polyvinylidene difluoride-hexafluoropropylene is a preferred copolymer (4:44-65). Examiner has provided a NIST printout that discloses vinylidene difluoride and vinylidene fluoride are different names for the same chemical compound. Since the claimed invention recites a polyvinylidene fluoride/hexafluoropropylene copolymer, the claim limitation is anticipated by Gao. The weight average molecular weight ranges of the claimed invention for the first and second fluorocarbon polymers overlap the molecular weight ranges of the prior art for the fluoropolymer blends of Gao. Furthermore, at least claim 22 does not require a first and a second fluorocarbon polymer. Thus, Gao anticipates the claimed invention.

HUMPHREY

Applicant argues KYNAR 460 is a homopolymer of polyvinylidene fluoride and it is not clear where the two peaks exist. However, Humphrey teaches the solid electrolyte may be a polymer including polyvinylidene fluoride. Polymers that may be used are shown in Table III. Table IV describes the combination of medium and high molecular weight grades to provide a PVDF polymer. KYNARTM 460 with a molecular weight of 572,000 if the first fluorocarbon polymer and KYNARTM 460 Black with a molecular weight of 373,500 is the second fluorocarbon polymer of the claimed invention. Thus, Applicant's arguments are not convincing.

Applicant further argues none of the cited references teach or disclose a solid electrolyte secondary battery wherein the first fluorocarbon polymer is a polyvinylidene fluoride/hexafluoropropylene copolymer, wherein the copolymer is 1-7 wt%. Examiner points out this limitation has been rejected under 35 USC 112 (see rejection above). Furthermore, at least Humphrey appears to teach the claim limitation. Humphrey teaches the PVDF polymer may include either a homopolymer or a copolymer, wherein the copolymers are either heterogeneous or homogeneous copolymers of vinylidene fluoride and hexafluoropropylene. The co-monomer is present from about 7 to about 25 wt%. The use of homogeneous copolymers for the manufacture of the electrode and electrolyte matrices is especially preferred (4:32-39).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 6, 2005